Adopted

Rejected

## **COMMITTEE REPORT**

YES: 9 NO: 0

## **MR. SPEAKER:**

Your Committee on Roads and Transportation, to which was referred House Bill 1269, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 8, after line 18, begin a new paragraph and insert:

2 "(c) The failure to comply with subsection (a) or (b) does not constitute fault under IC 34-51-2 and does not limit the liability of

4 an insurer.

(d) Except as provided in subsection (e), evidence of the failure
 to comply with subsection (a) or (b) may not be admitted in a civil
 action to mitigate damages.

8 (e) Evidence of a failure to comply with subsection (a) or (b)
9 may be admitted in a civil action as to mitigation of damages in a
10 product liability action involving:

11 (1) a windshield wiper system;

12 (2) head lamps; or

13 (3) other illumination devices.

14 **(f)** The defendant in an action described in subsection (e) has the

burden of proving:

16 (1) noncompliance with subsection (a) or (b);

CR126901/DI 96+

1	(2) that compliance with subsection (a) or (b) would have	
2	reduced injuries; and	
3	(3) the extent that compliance with subsection (a) or (b) would	
4	have reduced injuries.	
5	SECTION 12. IC 9-21-7-13 IS AMENDED TO READ AS	
6	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007]: Sec. 13. (a) Except as	
7	provided in subsection (b), a person who violates this chapter	
8	commits a Class C infraction.	
9	(b) A person who violates section 2(a) of this chapter commits	
10	a Class D infraction.	
11	(c) The bureau may not assess points under the point system for	
12	a violation of section 2(a) of this chapter.	
13	(d) A violation of section 2(a) of this chapter may not be	
14	included in a determination of habitual violator status under	
15	IC 9-30-10-4.".	
	(Reference is to HB 1269 as introduced.)	

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

-----

Representative Austin

CR126901/DI 96+